* *	*	COMMUNICATION	RESULT	REPORT	(MAR. 27.	2007 E	5:03PM)	* *	*
-----	---	---------------	--------	--------	------------	--------	----------	-----	---

FAX HEADER 1: FAX HEADER 2:

The MODE MAR 27. 2007 6:01PM ADRESS RESULT PAGE MARKED PAGE P					FAX HEADE	. N. Z ;
MEMORY IX	RANSMITTED/S ILE MODE	TORED : MAR. 27. 2007 OPTION	6:01PM ADDRESS		RESULT	PAGE
FACSIMILE FILING COVER SHEET JOOLTS NEW 5-10 1. See the back/page 2 for Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing. 2. Do not first the back/page 2 for the form to the count. 3. The filing party has been been signed on the clark of the pleading, during any applicable appellode process. 5. The transmission of call the Clark's Office to example, CI, CP, CR, CV, FA, HC, JV, MI, MV, SC, SP) The Goodument forms The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party shape of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC The filing party sassumes the risk of incomplete transmission or other factors that result in the document not being accepted for filing. From: Name (Finite Trips accepted for filing). From: Name (Finite Trips accepted for filing). The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is in in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov. The document is inompliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, see the Judicial Branch procedure at the www.jud.ct.gov. The document was faxed to the worng court. Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, see the			0.05.6	 74779	OK	13/13
SUPERIOR COURT JD-0.173 Rev. 6-10 See the back/page 2 for Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filling. Do not fee the back/page 2 for Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filling. The filling party shall keep the signed copy of the pleading, document or other paper while the action is pending, cluting any appeal pending, during any appeal pending and studing any applicable appealance process. The transmission record of section is pending, cluting any appeal pending and studing any applicable appealance process. The transmission record of section is pending, cluting any appeal pending and studing any applicable appealance process. The transmission record of section is pending, cluting any appeal pending and studing any applicable appealance of the cluting and studing any applicable appealance or action to confirm records. The studing Session at: Small Claims Area at: Child Protection Session at Middletown Fea number of sobre Caulit B80-567-4779 Decket number LIL-CV-77-0016404-6 (Include prefix: for example, Ci, CP, CR, CV, FA, HC, JV, Mi, MV, SC, SP) The stilling party assumes the risk of incomplete transmission or other factors that result in the document not being accepted for filling. From: Name (Pint or type full ame of person to be confidented. If necessary) The document was not filled by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document was not filled by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is not in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. The document was not accomplete. The document was not filled by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is longer than 20 pages. The document was not filled by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document was not filled by the clerk's office for the following rea	REASON			BUSY NO FACSIMILE (CONNECTION	
1. See the back/page 2 for Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filling. 2. Do not fix the back/page 2 of this form to the coult. 3. Type or pin legibly to this form to the coult. 3. Type or pin legibly the back/page 2 of this form to the coult. 3. Type or pin legibly the back/page 2 of this form to the coult. 4. It is eatilor is pendin use the signed copy of the pleading, document or other paper while 4. It is eatilor is pendin use the signed copy of the pleading, document or other paper while 5. The transmission record of each filling shall be the filling party's confirmation or receipt by the Court. Please do not call the Clerk's Office to confirm receipt. TO: The Superior Court named below.	COVER SH	EET			SUPERK	OR COURT
Judicial District et: Litchfield Geographical Area No.: Housing Session at: Juvenile Matters at: Small Claims Area et: Child Protection Session at Middletown		 See the back/pag Do not fax the ba Type or print legit The filing party st the action is pent The transmission Court. Please do 	e 2 for Procedures and Technica ck/page 2 of this form to the coun- ch/page 2 of this form to the coun- chief the supple of the part of the part ing, during any appeal period an record of each filing shall be the not call the Clerk's Office to com-	I Standards for Electi mitted for each docume eading, document of d during any applicate filing party's confirma irm receipt.	ronic Filing. ment. rother paper while sle appellate process. tion of receipt by the	
Housing Session at:	TO: The Su	perior Court named I	pelow.			
Child Protection Session at Middletown Session at	X Judicial D	istrict at: Litchfield	G	eographical Area No.		
From:	☐ Housing	Session at:		ıvenile Matters at:		
B80-567-4779 Decket number LLI-CV-77-0016404-6 (Include prefix: for example, Cl, CP, CR, CV, FA, HC, JV, MI, MV, SC, SP) Title of document faxed Motion to Intervene of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC	Small Cla	ims Area at:		nild Protection Sessio	on at Middletown	
Title of document faxed Motion to Intervene of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC Number of pages 13 (Unless otherwise directed by the court, documents shall not be more than 20 pages (including cover sheet) The filling party assumes the risk of incomplete transmission or other factors that result in the document not being accepted for filling. From: Name (Print or type full name of parson to be confacted, if necessary) Beth Bryan Critton, Esq. Date	860-567-4779 Docket number	9	(Include prefix: for examp	ie, Cl, CP, CR, CV	', FA, HC, JV, MI, MV	/, SC, SP)
Number of pages (Unless otherwise directed by the court, documents shall not be more than 20 pages (including cover sheet) The filling party assumes the risk of incomplete transmission or other factors that result in the document not being accepted for filling. Name (Print or type full name of person to be contacted, if necessary) Beth Bryan Critton, Esq. 10/06/2015 I am an attorney or law firm excluded from e-filling:	Title of document f	axed				MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF
From: Name (Print or type full name of person to be contacted, if necessary) Beth Bryan Critton, Esq. I am an attorney or law firm excluded from e-filing:	Number of pages 13 The filing	(Unless otherwise dir	ected by the court, documents			
I am an attorney or law firm excluded from e-filing: Yes No Juris number: Telephone number (Include area code) 860-251-5662 860-251-5318 To Be Completed By The Court Only Fax number (Include area code) 860-251-5318 The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is not in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov. The document is longer than 20 pages. The document is: incomplete. illegible. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.		Name (Print or type full name of per				
Telephone number (Include area code) 860-251-5662 To Be Completed By The Court Only The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is not in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov . The document is longer than 20 pages. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.	i ioin.					
To Be Completed By The Court Only The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is not in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov. The document is longer than 20 pages. The document is: incomplete. illegible. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.		·				
The document was not filed by the clerk's office for the following reason(s): The document is not in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov . The document is longer than 20 pages. The document is: incomplete. illegible. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.		1	<i>.</i>			
The document is not in compliance with procedures and technical standards established by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov . The document is longer than 20 pages. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.		A	To Be Completed By The	Court Only		
Chief Court Administrator. See the Judicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov . The document is longer than 20 pages. The document is: incomplete. illegible. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.	The docu	ment was not filed by the	clerk's office for the followi	ng reason(s):		
The document is: incomplete illegible. The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. The document was faxed to the wrong court. Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.	The de Chief	ocument is not in compliant Court Administrator. See th	e with procedures and technic e Judicial Branch procedure a	cal standards estat t www.jud.ct.gov.	olished by the Office of	of the
 ☐ The document was not accompanied by the required fax cover sheet. ☐ The document was faxed to the wrong court. ☐ Other	The d	ocument is longer than 20 p	pages.			
☐ The document was faxed to the wrong court. ☐ Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.			-			
Other Other Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.				sneet.		
Under the Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator, the documents will not be returned by the clerk.			vrong court.			
From (Print name and title)	Under the	Procedures and Technical S		et up by the Office	of the Chief Court Adn	ninistrator,
				***************************************	Date	

FACSIMILE FILING COVER SHEET

JD-CL-73 Rev. 5-10

INSTRUCTIONS

CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL BRANCH SUPERIOR COURT

www jud.ct.gov

1. See the back/page 2 for Procedures and Technical Standards for Electronic Filing.

2. Do not fax the back/page 2 of this form to the court.

Do not lax the backpage 2 of this form to the court.
 Type or print legibly. One cover sheet must be submitted for each document.
 The filing party shall keep the signed copy of the pleading, document or other paper while the action is pending, during any appeal period and during any applicable appellate process.
 The transmission record of each filing shall be the filing party's confirmation of receipt by the Court. Please do not call the Clerk's Office to confirm receipt.

TO: The Superior Court named below.

X Judicial [District at:	Litchfield	Geographical Area No.:	***************************************		
Housing	Session at:		Juvenile Matters at:			
Small Cla	aims Area at:	<u></u>	Child Protection Session at Middletown			
Fax number of abo	ove Court					
860-567-477	9					
Docket number						
LLI-CV-77-0	016404-6		(Include prefix: for example, CI, CP, CR, CV, FA, HC, JV, N	11, MV, SC, SP)		
Title of document	faxed					
Motion to In	tervene of th	ne Lime Rock	Citizens Council, LLC			
Number of pages						
13	(Unles	s otherwise dire	ected by the court, documents shall not be more than 20 pages	(including cover sheet)		
being acc	From: Name (Print or type full name of per. Beth Bryan Critton, Esq			Date 10/06/2015		
	I am an attorney or law firm excluded from e-filing: Yes No Juris number:					
	Telephone num	ber (Include area cod	(e) Fax number (Include area code)			
	860-251-56	662	860-251-5318			
			To Be Completed By The Court Only			
The docu	ment was no	ot filed by the	clerk's office for the following reason(s):			
The d	ocument is n	ot in complianc	e with procedures and technical standards established by the O gludicial Branch procedure at www.jud.ct.gov .	ffice of the		
☐ The de	ocument is lo	onger than 20 p	ages.			
☐ The de	ocument is:	incomple	te. 🔲 illegible.			
The de	ocument was	not accompan	ied by the required fax cover sheet.			
		faxed to the w	• ,			
		raxed to the w	Tong Court.			
U Other						
		nd Technical St be returned by t	andards for Electronic Filing set up by the Office of the Chief Cou he clerk.	rt Administrator,		
From (Print nar	ne and title)		Date			
L						

The information contained in this facsimile message may be privileged and confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately.

DOCKET NO. LLI-CV-77-0016404-6

SUPERIOR COURT

LIME ROCK FOUNDATION, INC.

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

OF LITCHFIELD

٧.

:

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE

TOWN OF SALISBURY

OCTOBER 6, 2015

MOTION TO INTERVENE OF THE LIME ROCK CITIZENS COUNCIL, LLC

Pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes ("General Statutes") §§ 52-102, 52-107 and 52-108 and Connecticut Practice Book §§ 9-18 and 9-19, Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC ("Council") hereby moves to intervene as a party defendant in this action and, in particular, in proceedings in which Lime Rock Park, LLC is seeking to modify a Stipulation signed on or about May 31, 1979 on behalf of Lime Rock Protection Committee, Herbert O. Berdahl, Joan C Berdahl, the Lime Rock Foundation, Inc., and the Zoning Board of Appeals of Salisbury in a consolidated action that included this appeal and two other related appeals, Docket Nos. LLI-CV-77-16416-D and LLI-CV-78-16920-D, and a Judgment of this Court entered in this appeal on September 19, 1979. The Stipulation and Judgment specifically identified the geographic area to which camping and camping vehicles were limited and established rules for outfield parking and for the use of White Hollow Road, an entranceway to the racetrack.

The Council is a Connecticut limited liability company, comprised of residents and neighbors of the Lime Rock area of Salisbury and organized for "the purpose of promoting and protecting the interests of those adversely affected by the activities of Lime Rock Park, a motorsport road racing venue located in Lime Rock." See Exhibit A, attached. The Council

was formed in August 2015 to ensure that interests, including those previously represented by the Lime Rock Protection Association, Inc., are properly and vigorously protected.

The Council was notified of the pending Motion to Modify Injunction and Judgment ("Motion to Modify") by an Order of Notice signed by the Court on September 4, 2015. The Order of Notice prepared by Lime Rock Park, LLC specifically directed that notice of hearing on the Motion to Modify be served on Peter Wolf, the Council's agent for service. Pleadings filed by Lime Rock Park, LLC included the Council's letter of August 25, 2015 (Exhibit A to this Motion), which advised this Court, Lime Rock Park and the Chair of the Town of Salisbury Planning and Zoning Commission of the Council's interests in this matter.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.

Issues relating to the racetrack have been the subject of litigation as long ago as 1958 when owners of properties near the racetrack and others affected by racetrack operations filed an injunction action, alleging irreparable harm and nuisance based on racetrack operations. According to a 1969 Supreme Court decision that reviewed previous court proceedings, the trial court found that in 1959 "unrestricted racing events on defendant's track constituted a nuisance and caused irreparable injury to the plaintiffs." *Adams v. Vaill*, 158 Conn. 478, 480, 485 (1969).

The injunction has been modified several times over the years, and Lime Rock Park, LLC has recently moved, in another action, to further modify the Injunction and a 1988 Stipulation signed by Lime Rock Protection Committee Inc. and Lime Rock Associates, Inc., upon which Judgment entered on May 3, 1988.

In 1977 and 1978, three separate appeals (collectively, the "ZBA Appeals") were filed relating to the extent and location of accessory uses (camping, camp vehicles and parking) to the racetrack, a nonconforming use, and regarding use of White Hollow Road, which provides one entranceway to the racetrack. This appeal, the first of the three ZBA Appeals, was filed in Superior Court on December 6, 1977 by the plaintiff, Lime Rock Foundation, Inc., challenging a decision by the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Salisbury (Docket No. 16,404 6).

The second of the ZBA Appeals was filed by plaintiffs Lime Rock Protection Committee, Inc., Herbert Bergdahl and Joan Bergdahl against defendants Lime Rock Foundation, Inc. and the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Salisbury (Docket No. 16,416 D).

The third of the ZBA Appeals was filed by plaintiffs Lime Rock Protection Committee, Inc., Joan Bergdahl, and Jack Olson (who later withdrew as a plaintiff) against defendants Lime Rock Foundation, Inc. and the Salisbury Zoning Board of Appeals (Docket No. 16,920 D).

All parties to the ZBA Appeals (Lime Rock Protection Committee, Herbert O. Bergdahl, Joan C. Bergdahl, Lime Rock Foundation, Inc. and the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Salisbury) entered into a Stipulation for Judgment on or about May 31, 1979. With reference to Docket Nos. 16,404 6 (this appeal) and 16,416 D, the Stipulation permitted camping and camp vehicles within a defined infield area of the racetrack, limited parking in the outfield area of the racetrack, and limited use of a road to one racetrack entrance. The Stipulation also provided that No. 16,920 D would be dismissed "with prejudice."

On September 19, 1979, the Court, noting that it had considered the Stipulation for Judgment signed by all parties, entered Judgments dismissing No. 16,920 D with prejudice and incorporating the terms of the Stipulation, with clarifications, into separate Judgments in Nos. 16,404 6 (this appeal) and 16,416 D, as follows:

- 1. All camping and camping vehicles shall be limited to the Race Track infield. The Race Track infield is defined as the area inside of the 1.53 mile asphalt track, as said track existed on May 1, 1979.
- 2. No motor vehicles shall be parked in the Race Track outfield during the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m., except those which are a) on official track business; and b) parked in the parking lot area adjacent to the track office, as it now exists;
- 3. The back road and Race Track entrance, which presently runs past that property now known as the Williams' property shall be closed between the hours of 11:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. to all traffic except emergency and service vehicles.

Lime Rock Park, LLC, which seeks to modify the Judgment(s), was not a party to the original proceedings and, on knowledge and belief, has filed no motion to intervene or otherwise become a party to the actions. Counsel representing Lime Rock Park, LLC has filed an Appearance in this appeal on behalf of "The Lime Rock Corporation," which was never a party in the ZBA Appeals, and was, according to records of the Office of the Secretary of State, dissolved in 1984.

In its Motion, Lime Rock Park, LLC seeks to substantially and materially modify the Judgments entered by the Court on September 19, 1979.

II. LEGAL ANALYSIS.

General Statutes § 52-102 provides, in pertinent part, that, "[u]pon motion by any party or nonparty to a civil action, . . . the nonparty so moving . . . (2) shall be made a party by the

court if that person is necessary for a complete determination or settlement of any question involved therein." General Statutes § 52-107 provides: "The court may determine the controversy as between the parties before it, if it can do so without prejudice to the rights of others; but, if a complete determination cannot be had without the presence of other parties, the court may direct that such other parties be brought in. If a person not a party has an interest or title which the judgment will affect, the court, on his application, shall direct him to be made a party." See also Practice Book § 9-18. General Statutes § 52-108 and Practice Book § 9-19 provide for the addition of parties "at any stage of the action, as the court deems the interests of justice require."

In considering motions to intervene or to add parties, Connecticut courts have recognized a distinction between "necessary" and "indispensable" parties. In *1525 Highland Associates, LLC v. Fohl*, 62 Conn. App. 612, cert. denied, 256 Conn. 919 (2001), our Appellate Court said it this way:

Parties are considered indispensable when they not only have an interest in the controversy, but an interest of such a nature that a final decree cannot be made without either affecting that interest, or leaving the controversy in such condition that its final [disposition] may be... inconsistent with equity and good conscience ... Indispensable parties must be joined because due process principles make it essential that [such parties] be given notice and an opportunity to protect [their] interests by making [them] a party to the [action] ... Necessary parties, in contrast, are those [p]ersons having an interest in the controversy, and who ought to be made parties, in order that the court may act on that rule which requires it to decide on, and finally determine the entire controversy, and do complete justice, by adjusting all the rights involved in it (Internal quotation marks omitted.)

Id., at 618.

In moving to intervene as of right, the intervenor must satisfy four requirements. *See*, *e.g.*, *Kerrigan v. Commissioner of Public Health*, 279 Conn. 447, 456–57 (2006). The motion to intervene must be timely, the moving party must have a direct and substantial interest in the subject matter of the litigation, the moving party's interest must be impaired by disposition of the litigation without that party's involvement and the moving party's interest must not be represented adequately by any other party to the litigation. Id.

"For purposes of judging the satisfaction of [the] conditions [for intervention] we look to the pleadings, that is, to the motion ... to intervene and to the proposed complaint or defense in intervention, and ... we accept the allegations in those pleadings as true. The question on a petition to intervene is whether a well-pleaded defense or claim is asserted. Its merits are not to be determined. The defense or claim is assumed to be true on [a] motion to intervene, at least in the absence of sham, frivolity, and other similar objections. Thus, neither testimony nor other evidence is required to justify intervention, and [a prospective] intervenor must allege sufficient facts, through the submitted motion and pleadings, if any, in order to make a showing of his or her right to intervene. The inquiry is whether the claims contained in the motion, if true, establish that the [prospective] intervenor has a direct and immediate interest that will be affected by the judgment." (Citation omitted; internal quotation marks omitted.)

Id., p. 457.

Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC meets the four conditions for intervention as of right. Its Motion to Intervene is timely. Both in this Motion and in its letter of August 25, 2015, which Lime Rock Park, LLC itself provided to the Court, the Council has indicated that it was formed, *inter alia*, to ensure that interests of the Lime Rock Protection Association, Inc.

"are properly represented and vigorously protected." These interests will be impaired if the Council is not permitted to intervene and present legal analysis and evidence to the Court regarding the harm and inequity that neighbors and the community will face if the Council is not permitted to protect those interests. Because the Lime Rock Protection Committee, Inc. no longer exists, there is no original party who can adequately represent the Council's interests.

For all these reasons, the Motion to Intervene of the Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC should be granted. Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC is a person necessary for the complete determination or settlement of the issues, warranting intervention pursuant to General Statutes § 52-102, and represents persons whose interests will be affected by any judgment, warranting intervention as of right under General Statutes § 52-107 and Practice Book § 9-18. The interests of justice will be served by the addition of the Council, supporting intervention pursuant to General Statutes § 52-108 and Practice Book § 9-19. Finally, there is no prejudice to any party to this action by permitting the Council to intervene as a party plaintiff.

III. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED.

Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC is both a necessary and indispensable party to the ZBA Appeals and to the attempted Motion to Modify of Lime Rock Park, LLC and should be permitted to intervene pursuant to General Statutes §§ 52-102, 52-107 and 52-108 and Practice Book §§ 9-18 and 9-19. Contrary to the representations of Lime Rock Park, LLC (see Motion to Modify Stipulation and Judgment), the ZBA Appeals do not involve an injunction or other Court decision subject to modification. What Lime Rock Park, LLC is asking this Court to do is to authorize the illegal expansion of a nonconforming use, the extent of which was

determined by Stipulation of the parties on May 31, 1979 with reference to the racetrack as it existed on May 1, 1979, and pursuant to which the Court entered final Judgments that are not subject to modification at the behest of Lime Rock Park, LLC, if at all. Therefore, Lime Rock Citizens Council, LLC respectfully moves this Court to grant its Motion to Intervene and order that it may be added as a party defendant in Docket No. 16404-6.

MOVANT,

LIME ROCK CITIZENS COUNCIL, LLC

Sujan Citton

Beth Bryan Critton

Shipman & Goodwin LLP One Constitution Plaza

Hartford, CT 06103

Telephone: 860-251-5000 Facsimile: 860-251-5318 bcritton@goodwin.com

Juris No. 057385 Their Attorneys

¹ By separate motions, the Council is moving to intervene as a party plaintiff in Docket No. 16416 D and Docket No. 16920 D. The Council acknowledges that No. 16920 D was dismissed on September 19, 1979. However, Lime Rock Park, LLC has included it in its Motions for Order, Order of Notice, Motion to Modify and Proposed Order. Therefore, the Council is asking to intervene in No. 16920 D.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was transmitted by e-mail and first-class mail, postage prepaid, on this 6^{th} day of October 2015, to:

John L. Cordani, Jr., Esq.
Richard L. Street, Esq.
Carmody Torrance Sandak & Hennessey LLP
195 Church Street
P.O. Box 1950
New Haven, CT 06509
jcordani@carmodylaw.com
rstreet@carmodylaw.com

James K. Robertson, Jr., Esq. Carmody Torrance Sandak & Hennessey LLP 50 Leavenworth Street Waterbury, CT 06721 jrobertson@carmodylaw.com

Steven Byrne, Esq.
Byrne & Byrne
790 Farmington Avenue # 2b
Farmington, CT 06032
attysbyrne@gmail.com

Beth Bryan Critton

Commissioner of the Court

4317245_1

EXHIBIT A

Line Table City to Sale Code.

Re: Ann Adams, et al., v. B. Franklin Vaill, et al., No. 15,459

LIME ROCK CITIZENS COUNCIL (formerly known as the "Lime Rock Protection Association") c/o Peter S. Wolf
45 White Hollow Rd.
Lakeville, CT 06039

August 26, 2015

By Registered Mail:

Mr. Brandon Pelegano, Chief Clerk of Court Clerk's Office, Litchfield County Superior Court 15 West Street Litchfield, CT 06759

With copies to:

By Email:

Ms. Georgia Blades Lime Rock Park 60 White Hollow Rd. Lakeville, CT 06039

By Hand Delivery:

Dr. Michael Klemens, Chairman Salisbury Planning and Zoning Commission Town Hall Salisbury, CT 06068

Re: Ann Adams, et al., v. B. Franklin Vaill, et al., No. 15,459

Dear Mr. Pelegano,

Please be advised that a group of residents and concerned neighbors of Lime Rock have organized to form the LIME ROCK CITIZENS COUNCIL, LLP ("LRCC") with the purpose of promoting and protecting the interests of those adversely affected by the activities of Lime Rock Park, a motorsport road racing venue located in Lime Rock, Connecticut (the "Track"). The LRCC is a limited liability corporation established under the laws of the State of Connecticut (Business ID 1181805).

It recently has come to the attention of the LRCC that the Track intends to seek amendments to an Order and Injunction entered by the Superior Court of Litchfield County in 1959 (amended by stipulation in 1966 and 1988), in *Ann Adams, et al.*, v. B. Franklin Vaill, et al., No. 15,459 (the "Injunction"). This Injunction imposes significant restrictions on the Track's activities, which in

್ ಕಾನ್ಯಾಯಾತಕಾಡುವುದು:

Re: Ann Adams, et al., v. B. Franklin Vaill, et al., No. 15,459

turn protect the rights and interests of those home owners, business owners, residents, and concerned citizens represented by the LRCC. The LRCC understands, on information and belief, that the entity that most recently represented the interests of Lime Rock's residents and neighbors in this court action, the "Lime Rock Protection Association, Inc." is no longer in existence. The LRCC therefore has formed to ensure that those interests are properly represented and vigorously protected.

Accordingly, the LRCC respectfully requests that the Clerk of Court provide notice to the LRCC of any activity on this docket (*Ann Adams, et al., v. B. Franklin Vaill, et al.*, No. 15,459, a copy of which is attached hereto) or any action filed by or on behalf of the Lime Rock Park seeking to amend or challenge the provisions of the Injunction (as amended). Notice may be provided to the LRCC's legal agent, Peter Wolf of 45 White Hollow Road, Lakeville, CT 06039, (860-435-9411), and by email to: limerockcitizenscouncil@gmail.com.

Please also be advised that should the Track decide to take any legal or administrative action to modify the terms of the 1959 Injunction (as amended), the LRCC fully intends to oppose any such action.

Sincerely,

Peter S. Wolf, Managing co, Founder

Douglas R. Howes, Managing co-Founder

On behalf of the LIME ROCK CITIZENS COUNCIL